

[29th January 1929]

- (b) & (c) Do not arise.
- (d) The answer is in the negative.
- (e) This does not arise.
- (f) The Government have no reason to think that there is dissatisfaction, despair and indiscipline in the service.

Issue of the rule of "Communal rotation in the Registration department."

* 1112-E Q.—Mr. K. R. KARANT: Will the hon. the Minister for Public Health be pleased to state—

(a) whether the rule of "communal rotation" was issued by the Inspector-General of Registration in consultation with him (the Minister);

(b) whether the rule was a decision of the entire Cabinet or only of the Minister or of the Transferred Half or of the Governor acting with the Ministers; and

(c) what is the advice tendered by the Minister to His Excellency the Governor on the matter?

A.—(a), (b) & (c) The Government Order referred to was issued by the Government.

Promotion of sub-registrars to the selection grade.

* 1112-F Q.—Mr. K. R. VENKATARAMA AYYAR: Will the hon. the Minister for Public Health be pleased to state—

(a) whether about thirty sub-registrars have been promoted recently to the selection grade;

(b) how many of those so promoted satisfy the test of seniority;

(c) how many senior sub-registrars have been superseded by juniors being promoted over them, and on what grounds they have been superseded;

(d) whether at the time of these promotions to the selection grade there were about thirty joint sub-registrars attached to District Registrars' offices assisting the District Registrars in their administrative work in addition to the discharge of their duty as sub-registrars, and whether they had been selected for their posts as such joint sub-registrars on grounds of their seniority and approved merit; and

(e) how many of such joint sub-registrars have now been superseded by junior sub-registrars being promoted over them and why they have been superseded?

A.—(a) Yes.

(b) & (c) The selection was made with reference to seniority, efficiency and community. Persons who were considered unfit have been superseded.

(d) Yes.

(e) There have been no supersession.

Corporation

Nomination of Mr. O. Thanikachalam Chettiyar to the Madras Corporation.

* 1113-A Q.—Mr. P. BHAKTHAVATSULU NAYUDU: Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the term of Diwan Bahadur O. Thanikachalam Chettiyar ceases as a nominated member of the Madras Corporation; if so, when;